

THURSTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

Minutes November 17, 2021

1		
2	1.	6:30 P.M. CALL TO ORDER
3		Chair Simmons called the November 17, 2021 meeting of the Thurston County Planning
4		Commission to order at 6:30 p.m. Commissioners provided self-introductions.
5		commission to order at 0.50 p.m. commissioners provided sen introductions.
6		Attendance: Commissioners Scott Nelson, Eric Casino, Jim Simmons, Doug Karman,
7		Kevin Pestinger, and Don DeHan
		Kevin restinger, and Don Derfan
8		Abarrete David de La com en d Davier Nicharren
9		Absent: Raul deLeon and Donna Nickerson
10		
11		Staff: Christina Chaput, Maya Teeple, Andrew Deffobis, and Leah Davis
12	_	
13	2.	<u>6:30 P.M.</u> APPROVAL OF AGENDA
14		
15		MOTION: Commissioner Nelson moved to approve the agenda. Commissioner
16		Karman seconded. Motion carried.
17		
18	3.	<u>6:30 P.M.</u> APPROVAL OF MINUTES
19		
20		MOTION: Commissioner Karman moved to approve the November 3, 2021 meeting
21		minutes. Commissioner Casino seconded. Motion carried, as amended.
22		
23		Page 1, line 39 change the word "simply" to simplify".
24		
25		The official audio is available on line at:
26		http://www.co.thurston.wa.us/planning/planning_commission/planning_comm_minutes.h
27		tml
28		
29	4.	6:30 P.M. PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS (Not associated with topics for which
30	ч.	public hearings have been held.)
31		public heatings have been held.)
32		None given
		None given
33	-	
34	5.	6:35 P.M. WORK SESSION: A-24 EMERGENCY HOUSING ORDINANCE
35		(Staff: Maya Teeple, Leah Davis)
36		
37		Ms. Teeple and Ms. Davis presented a Power Point on the Emergency Housing Ordinance.
38		This development code docket item A-24 is a BoCC-initiated proposal to consider making
39		current interim regulations permanent. These amendments allow for flexibility in
40		permitting criteria within the Homeless Encampments and have been in place since 2019.
41		The history was explained and also the process for allowing waivers of some requirements.
42		The Planning Commissioners had questions which staff answered. A discussion ensued.
43		The questions and comments received will be incorporated into the next work session for
		-

this item. Staff is hoping to bring the item back to the Planning Commission in the first half of 2022, dependent on how fast the input for changes is received from other county departments.

3 4

1

2

5

6

7

26

27

28 29

30

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

6. <u>7:00 P.M.</u> WORK SESSION: SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM

(Staff: Andrew Deffobis)

8 Mr. Deffobis presented the draft of the Shoreline Master Program with the options to 9 choose from based on public comments received. Starting in Chapter 19.400, the Planning 10 Commission discussed using another term besides "non-conforming" to refer to legally existing structures in the shoreline environment. A clear definition will also need to be 11 created. Planning Commission requested the structures which were considered legal at the 12 13 time of construction, be called "conforming". Mr. Deffobis will work to convert it where 14 appropriate. The two options for buffers were then discussed. The Planning Commission 15 was reminded that critical area buffers will still apply outside shoreline jurisdiction. The majority of Planning Commission decided to recommend a blend of both options for 16 17 marine shorelines and lakes. Next was a discussion on viewing platforms near residential 18 homes and public areas. For viewing platforms, 300 square feet maximum size was 19 discussed as an option, remembering mitigation would still be needed as the code outlines. Also suggested was allowing decks/viewing platforms up to the ordinary high water mark 20 21 if the proposal does not result in a net loss of ecological function. PC also recommended not requiring a variance for these, and that an SDP, if needed, be processed 22 23 administratively. Regarding uses allowed in the buffers (water oriented storage structures) 24 it was desired to not limit to residential only. 25

The cumulative impacts report for the SMP will need to be re-written based on the Planning Commission's recommendation to the BoCC.

At the next meeting, discussion will begin in Chapter 19.500.

31 7. <u>8:00 P.M.</u> DISCUSSION: PLANNING COMMISSION ITEMS

(Staff: Chair Simmons)

- Commissioner Karman shared the feedback he received from the first hybrid (in-person and Zoom) Public Hearing on SMP. The suggestions were as follows:
 - All Planning Commission members use video.
 - Use better video etiquette for camera placement and regulate background noises.
- Keep the timer on a smaller screen instead of the large view which blocks the public from seeing the Planning Commission for public comments.

41 A larger discussion ensued about all members being on video instead of calling in. Chair 42 Simmons and Ms. Chaput will discuss this further off line, as well as working on vacant 43 and absent positions. It was also requested to move the meetings back to in-person, keeping 44 the hybrid element of Zoom as well. Staff agreed to work on scheduling these in the 45 appropriate space, with a goal of starting in January 2022. It was also mentioned that the 46 Planning Commission does a good job of listening to the public and their concerns and 47 suggestions.

48

1 2 3 4		Staff will update the Zoom instructions for the public to be able to turn their camara on to be seen for public testimony. Staff will also get an update on the request to set up county email addresses for the Planning Commissioners due to privacy concerns.
5	8.	8:20 P.M. STAFF UPDATES
6 7		(Staff: Christina Chaput)
8 9		There were no staff updates.
10 11	9.	8:20 P.M. CALENDAR
12		December 1, 2021: All plan on attending.
13 14		December 15, 2021: Commissioner DeHan may not attend.
15	10.	8:22 P.M. ADJOURN
16		
17		With there being no further business, Chair Simmons adjourned the meeting at 8:22 p.m.
18		I'm SIMMOND
19		
20		Jim Simmons, Chair
21	Prepare	d by Polly Stoker